Docket No.: S63.2N-6531-US03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Michael W. Johnson

Application No.:

09/880615

Filed:

June 13, 2001

For:

Stent Drug Delivery System

Examiner:

Jermie E. Cozart

Group Art Unit:

3726

Mail Stop <u>Appeal Brief-Patents</u> Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY BRIEF ON APPEAL

This is a Reply Brief on Appeal is submitted in response to the Examiner's Answer for the above-identified application. This reply brief is submitted in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 41.41.

Remarks

Applicant first wishes to clarify some remarks that were made in the Supplemental Appeal Brief filed October 24, 2005. In the Brief, Applicant stated:

In multiple responses to both these assertions. Applicant has pointed out that this is not consistent with column 4 lines 58-64 of Yan which teaches uniform possity and the undesirability of areas of different possity. As the explicit language of the Yan reference teaches the undesirability of areas of different possity, it does not make sense that Yan would teach langitudinally spaced regions of different predetermined physical possities. In fact, Yan teaches away from such a teaching; one would never predetermine to produce the very thing that is undesirable (i.e. non-uniform poresity)

Applicant wishes to clarify that the above discussion relates to the teaching in Yan (US 5843172) concerning the desirability of consistent pore size *along the length* of the stent.

Reply BRIEF NOTED TE 17/07